IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

C.F-100=00

WRIT PETITION NO. 875 OF 2001

P.R. No. 973/2001
Presented by Shri Harish Kumar Patel
dated 11.5.2001

PETITIONER

Rajendra Kumar Parihar,
Son of Shri Kaliram,
Aged about 30 years,
Resident of Village: Sonvarsha,
Working as Panchayat Karmi/
Panchayat Secretary of Gram
Panchayat Sonvarsha,
Tahsil & District: Kawardha
Chhattisgarh.

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

R:- (1) Collector Kawardha,
District Kawardha.

R- (2) Sub Divisional Officer,
Kawardha,
District: Kawardha
Chhattisgarh.

R- (3) Gram Panchayat Sonvarsha,
Through: its Sarpanch Smt.
Keshar Bai at Sonvarsha,
Tahsil & District: Kawardha,
Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 875 OF 2001

Petitioner : Rajendra Kumar Parihar

Versus

Respondents : Collector, Kawardha and others

Present: Shri Rajneesh Singh Baghel, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sandeep Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2/State.
Smt. Renu Kochar, counsel for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Panchayat Karmi in village Panchayat Sonbarsa, Tahsil and District Kawardha. Service of the petitioner was terminated from the post of Panchayat Karmi vide order dated 4.3.2001 (Annexure P-1).

2. The petitioner filed an appeal before the Sub Divisional Officer in Revenue Case No. 94-B/121/2000-2001. The Sub Divisional Officer, Kawardha, vide his order dated 4.5.2001 (Annexure P-2), dismissed the appeal.

3. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to file the statutory appeal/revision before the Senior Officer as provided under Rule 5 of the C.G. Panchayats (Appeal and Revision) Rules, 1995 and Rule 15 and 16 of the C.G. Panchayat Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1999, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection. In case the

revision/appeal, as stated above, is filed within a period of two weeks, the same may not be dismissed on the ground of limitation. The petitioner is accordingly granted liberty to move appropriate authority under the provisions of law.

4. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu